


FILED

JUL 15 2009

ROBERT D. DENNIS, CLERK
U.S. DIST. COURT, WESTERN DIST. OF OKLA.
BY [Signature], DEPUTY
UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Jun 26, 2009

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: COX ENTERPRISES, INC., SET-TOP CABLE
TELEVISION BOX ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2048

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-1)

On June 11, 2009, the Panel transferred four civil actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* ___ F.Supp.2d ___ (J.P.M.L. 2009). With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Robin J. Cauthron.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Western District of Oklahoma and assigned to Judge Cauthron.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Western District of Oklahoma for the reasons stated in the order of June 11, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Robin J. Cauthron.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

[Signature]
Jeffrey N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

ATTEST: A true copy of the original
Robert D. Dennis, Clerk

By [Signature]
Deputy

**IN RE: COX ENTERPRISES, INC., SET-TOP CABLE
TELEVISION BOX ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2048

SCHEDULE CTO-1 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL	
CAC 8 09-441	John Joseph Brady, et al. v. CoxCom, Inc.
CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN	
CAS 3 09-1045	Sharon Coughlin v. Cox Communications, Inc., et al.
FLORIDA NORTHERN	
FLN 3 09-103	Ron Strobo v. Cox Enterprises, Inc., et al.
LOUISIANA EASTERN	
LAE 2 09-3065	Barksdale Hortenstine v. CoxCom, Inc.
LAE 2 09-3079	Danielle Smith v. Cox Enterprises, Inc., et al.
LAE 2 09-3137	Toni Becnel v. Cox Enterprises, Inc., et al.
LAE 2 09-3155	Robert M. Becnel v. Cox Enterprises, Inc., et al.
LAE 2 09-3170	Brandy Zayas v. Cox Enterprises, Inc., et al.
LAE 2 09-3264	David Abdullah v. CoxCom, Inc.
LAE 2 09-3354	Carl Indest v. Cox Enterprises, Inc., et al.
LAE 2 09-3624	Erica Martin v. Cox Enterprises, Inc., et al.
LAE 2 09-3625	Paulette Zibilich v. Cox Enterprises, Inc., et al.
NEVADA	
NV 2 09-614	Sandra Prezgay v. Cox Communications, Inc., et al.